

Page 1

1

2 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
4 Docket No.: 1:16-cv-5171 (PKC) (RML)

5 THE LINCOLN NATIONAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY,
6 Plaintiff,

7 - against -

8

9 ELI INZLICHT-SPREI, individually, as trustee
10 and/or beneficiary of the SARA SPREI FAMILY
11 TRUST, and as Executor of the ESTATE OF SARA
12 SPREI; AVI ROSENFELD, as trustee of the SARA
13 SPREI FAMILY TRUST; ALAN RUBENSTEIN, as
14 trustee of the SARA SOREI FAMILY TRUST; and
15 WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.,

16 Defendants.

17 - - - - -
18 WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.,

19 Cross-Claim Plaintiff,

20 - against -

21 ALAN RUBENSTEIN, as trustee of the SARA
22 SPREI FAMILY TRUST,

23 Cross-Claim Defendant.

24 - - - - -
25 90 Park Avenue
New York, New York
December 12, 2017
11:32 a.m.

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION of HERMAN SEGAL, taken
before Brittany Saline, a Professional Shorthand
Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New
York, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil
Procedure.

Page 2

1
2 APP E A R A N C E S :
3 KROLL LAW CORPORATION
4 Attorneys for Defendant ELI INZLICHT-SPREI
629 State Street
Santa Barbara, California 93101
5 BY: GERALD L. KROLL, ESQ. (Via telephone)
6
7 ROBERT R. VIDUCICH, ESQ.
8 Attorneys for Defendant ELI INZLICHT-SPREI
110 Wall Street
New York, New York 10005
9 BY: ROBERT R. VIDUCICH, ESQ. (Via telephone)
10
11 PANETH & O'MAHONY, PLLC
12 Attorneys for ALAN RUBENSTEIN, as Trustee of the
SARA SPREI FAMILY TRUST
2329 Nostrand Avenue, Suite M-300
13 Brooklyn, New York 11210
14 BY: MICHAEL PANETH, ESQ.
15
16 ALSTON & BIRD, LLP
17 Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-Claim Plaintiff
WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.
18 90 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10016
19 BY: THEODORE J. SAWICKI, ESQ.
MELISSA GWOREK, ESQ.
COURTNEY E. QUIRÓS, ESQ.
20
21 ALSO PRESENT:
22 TOM DEVINE, Videographer
23
24
25

Page 3

1

2 S T I P U L A T I O N S

3

4 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by
5 and among counsel for the respective parties
6 hereto, that the filing, sealing and certification
7 of the within deposition shall be and the same are
hereby waived;

8 IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that all
9 objections, except as to form of the question,
10 shall be reserved to the time of the trial;

11 IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that the
12 within deposition may be signed before any Notary
13 Public with the same force and effect as if signed
14 and sworn to before the Court.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 29

1 Herman Segal

2 Q And what was the commission
3 payable to the insurance agent on a
4 20 million-dollar policy with the first
5 year premium of about \$1 million?

6 A It would be close to 100 percent.

7 Q Or a million dollars?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And in this case, was your son,
10 William Segal, the insurance agent on the
11 Sara Sprei policy?

12 A Yes, he was.

13 Q Does that mean that he was due
14 the million dollars in commissions that
15 were generated from the sale -- or the
16 issuance of the policy?

17 A No. We used Signature Capital as
18 the entity that would get the commission.

19 Q Okay. Now, as part of -- of --
20 you indicated that the family of the
21 insured would have to be onboard for the
22 entire transaction.

23 Does that mean that they were
24 made aware that the policy would be sold
25 after the two-year period and had to agree

Page 30

1 Herman Segal

2 to that concept?

3 A Well, they always had the right
4 to keep the policy, if they wanted to, but
5 that would --

6 MR. SAWICKI: Go off the record
7 again.

13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
14 12:07 p.m. We're back on the record.

15 BY MR. SAWICKI:

16 Q Thank you. Mr. Segal, you
17 indicated that the family had the right to
18 keep the policy. Was the initial concept
19 that -- strike that.

Was it contemplated that the family would be paying the initial premium as part of the issuance of this large life insurance policy?

A If they did, they would be reimbursed relatively quickly, but no,

1

Herman Segal

2

usually they would not make the premium in
the sense that they would risk any money.

4

Q Okay. What was the source of the
funding for the initial premium?

6

A Depending on the carrier and
depending on the situation, usually, we
would use Himelsein and Mandel to fund the
policies.

10

Q Is one of their entities known
as -- or called HM Ruby?

12

A Yes, that was the entity that was
used.

14

Q Okay. If the family decided that
they did not want to keep the policy after
its issuance, was it contemplated that the
family would be personally responsible for
any of the insurance premiums between the
time of issuance and the sale of the
policy?

21

A No, of course not. There was a
put option that was simultaneously executed
with the loan documents and the put option
allowed the family or the trustee to give
up the policy to the HM Ruby Fund and the

1 Herman Segal

2 misrepresentations on the application?

3 A Well, there was the methodology
4 that they used, but the primary target was
5 policies that they suspected lacked
6 insurable interest, so they would challenge
7 assets, they would challenge the questions
8 where the answer would be that the policy
9 was not financed and et cetera.

Q Did you and your entities,
including Signature Capital Group,
participate in the making of
misrepresentations about insured's assets
or the source of funding for the policies
when you were procuring those policies
during that 2007 to 2010 time frame?

17 A I plead the Fifth on that.

18 Q All right. I identified for you
19 the fact that this case is about the
20 million-dollar Lincoln National policy
21 on the life of a woman named Sara Sprei.

Did you know her personally?

23 A I did not.

24 Q Did you know her son, Dr. Eli
25 Inzlicht-Sprei?

5 Q Mr. -- Mr. Segal, Exhibit No. 40
6 is a handwritten agreement that Dr. Eli
7 Inzlicht-Sprei has already testified that
8 he entered into and signed.

9 Is that your signature on the
10 bottom of this one-page agreement?

11 A It appears so, yes.

12 Q Did you enter into this agreement
13 with Mr. -- with Dr. Eli Inzlicht-Sprei on
14 or about April of 2008?

15 A Apparently I did.

16 Q And was this an agreement related
17 to the Lincoln National policy on Ms. Sara
18 Sprei?

19 A Yes, it was.

20 Q Did you agree with
21 Dr. Inzlicht-Sprei as it says in No. 1 that
22 the premium payments for that policy will
23 be made by Signature Capital whether
24 directly or through third parties?

25 A Yes .

1 Herman Segal

2 Q Did you also agree that the
3 trustee would be agreed to in advance by
4 both parties?

5 **A** **Yes.**

6 Q And is that the trustee for the
7 life insurance trust that would be the
8 owner of the policy?

9 A Yes. The trustee of the trust
10 that owned the policy, yes.

11 Q Did you also agree with
12 Dr. Inzlicht-Sprei, as it says in No. 3,
13 that upon the sale of the policy or the
14 death of the insured, 5 percent of the
15 gross proceeds would go to the doctor and
16 the remaining 95 percent will go to
17 Signature Capital?

18 A That's what it says, but there
19 were other things that were understood that
20 weren't necessarily listed --

21 Q What other things?

22 A He was a client of Spiegel, I did
23 not know him until the policy was already
24 written or was about to be written or was
25 already in effect, so to speak. He wanted

Page 44

1

Herman Segal

2

something in writing, most people did not.

3

Most agreements were done just by

4

handshake.

5

So it does say 5 percent, but the understanding was that if there was a sudden death and they actually paid out, that he would get 10 percent.

9

Q So if Mr. Sara Sprei died and \$20 million were paid out, you had an understanding that Dr. Inzlicht-Sprei, that he would get \$2 million and Signature Capital would get \$18 million?

14

A That's correct.

15

Q But in the -- according to this agreement, in the event of the sale of the policy, prior to Ms. Sprei's death, did this paragraph 3 control that is that Dr. Inzlicht-Sprei was -- was supposed to get 5 percent of the proceeds of the sale of the policy and 95 percent would go to Signature Capital?

23

A No. He certainly would have had the right to make a decision as to what he wanted the policy to go forward if -- in

Page 45

1

Herman Segal

2

other words, if, for example, we were lapsing the policy, he would have the right to say don't lapse it, I will pay it going forward. If he was selling it, he would have the right to say let me buy it, et cetera.

3

Q Okay.

4

A And that's not anywhere in this agreement.

5

Q Are you saying it was contemplated that he would be informed as to what Signature Capital intended to do with the policy and given them the option to take it over or --

6

A Yes. The understanding was that on all of our clients that they had input after two years what we would do with it.

7

Q Okay. The next paragraph in this agreement, Exhibit 40, says that nothing obligates Signature Capital to maintain the policy, however, should they -- and the "they" being Signature Capital -- elect to lapse the policy, Signature Capital will pay Dr. Inzlicht-Sprei \$50,000 as

Page 50

4 Q Was Mr. Avi Rosenfeld one of the
5 lawyers that Signature Capital used from
6 time to time for these life insurance
7 policy transactions?

8 A Yes, he was.

9 Q Do you know whether Mr. Rosenfeld
10 was the drafter of this trust agreement
11 form?

12 | A I have no idea.

13 Q Turning to page 16 of the
14 agreement, Exhibit No. 48.

15 A (Complying.)

16 Q Do you recognize Mr. Avi
17 Rosenfeld's signature on that page?

A I'm no handwriting expert.

19 Q Page 16.

20 A (Perusing.) It looks like it
21 might be his signature.

Q While we have the agreement in front of us, let's turn to the last two pages of -- the second-to-last page is entitled Resignation and Appointment of

1

Herman Segal

2

Trustee.

3

Do you know whether Mr. Avi Rosenfeld resigned as trustee as of August 18th, 2010 and Alan Rubenstein was substituted in as a trustee for this Sara Sprei Family Life Insurance Trust?

4

A I have no idea.

5

Q Do you have any understanding as to why this may have been done in 2010?

6

A I do, but I don't want to rely or say negative things about anyone, so I will not.

7

Q Doesn't sound like a privilege matter, so I ask that you go ahead and answer the question, please?

8

A Well, Avi Rosenfeld was a more -- was less likely to cooperate, so I assume that's why he was replaced, that's what indeed happened.

9

Q By "less likely to cooperate," what do you mean?

10

A Less likely to cooperate on what needed to be done to what was eventually done with the policy.

1 Herman Segal

2 Q Does it involve the timely
3 payment of premiums or the -- or the
4 signature of sale documents or something
5 else?

6 A Well, it involved signatures or
7 involving the sale in its entirety.

8 | Q Okay.

9 A And if there were any proceeds,
10 the distribution thereof.

11 Q Okay. And in 2010, were you and
12 Signature Capital acquainted with Alan
13 Rubenstein?

14 A Yes, we were.

15 Q Was he another one of the
16 attorneys that Signature Capital used from
17 time to time to be trustee of these life
18 insurance trusts for the life insurance
19 transactions?

20 A Yes, he was.

21 Q And was it your view that he --
22 that Mr. Rubenstein was someone who was --
23 was and would be more cooperative
24 concerning the sale transactions than Avi
25 Rosenfeld?

1 Herman Segal

2 A Well, there came a point in time
3 when there was friction between the
4 Spiegels and the Segals and at that point
5 there were -- there was basically a
6 dissolution of the partnership, there was
7 acrimony, there was animosity, and Mr. Alan
8 Rubenstein had allied himself or at least
9 not openly, but was my feeling that his
10 loyalties was with the Spiegels.

11 MR. SAWICKI: Okay. Gerry, we're
12 going to go to Exhibit No. 28.
13 (handing).

14 MR. KROLL: Thank you. Can you
15 give me a date, Todd.

16 MR. SAWICKI: April 1, 2008.

17 MR. KROLL: Got it. Thank you.

21 Q Mr. Segal, I put in front of you
22 Exhibit No. 28.

23 Is this a document that appears
24 on what was Signature Capital Group's
25 letterhead in 2008?

1 Herman Segal

2 A Yes, I believe that's his current
3 telephone number.

4 Q And his e-mail, right?

A Yes, that's one of his emails.

6 Q So as this document indicates,
7 this was -- these forms were filled out in
8 September 2010.

9 Does this refresh your memory
10 that this Sara Sprei Lincoln National
11 policy was sold to Berkshire Settlements in
12 a life settlement transaction in or about
13 September/October 2010?

14 A Well, as recently as several
15 months ago, I had no recollection of this
16 policy being sold at all and I was made
17 aware of some of these e-mails that I was
18 somehow involved and I was made aware of
19 these e-mails by people who wanted me to
20 try to put pressure on Dr. Inzlicht to walk
21 away from this case. And at that time, I
22 actually suspected that they were
23 forggeries.

24 However, since then, it's come to
25 my -- not through memory, but just from

1 Herman Segal
2 one of those people that would prefer that
3 this case go away and is telling me to do
4 what I can to make it go away.

5 Q Who else besides your son was
6 pressuring you?

7 A Well, everyone involved with the
8 case.

9 Q Please give me some names.

10 A Alan Rubenstein, Avi Rosenfeld,
11 the Spiegels, not directly, but through
12 intermediaries.

13 Q Who were the Spiegels' intermediaries?
14

15 A Different people.

16 Q Please give me names.

17 A Well, there were people who I
18 hadn't known before and I don't know if the
19 names were real names or made-up names.
20 There were some anonymous texts that I
21 received with threats.

22 Q What names were you given whether
23 you knew them before, whether you knew they
24 were correct names or not?

A It was given a name of Aaron

5 Q But you were talking to Dr. Sprei
6 about other things during that time period,
7 correct?

8 A Yes .

9 Q So -- and in fact, you used him
10 as a doctor, right?

11 | A Yes .

12 Q So when you were visiting him,
13 there was never a time that
14 Dr. Inzlicht-Sprei turned to you and asked
15 you what the status of the policy was or if
16 you had considered selling the policy or
17 anything else?

18 A No. I told him what I believed
19 was the truth at the time, which was that
20 the policy was being foreclosed on and it
21 didn't look like there was anything that
22 could be done, but perhaps the lender would
23 pay a few dollars just to have a smooth
24 transition to hand the policy over, which
25 is what the Spiegels had told me.

1 Herman Segal
2 to make premiums on or we were being
3 squeezed by our lender to hand them over,
4 so we had a ticking time bomb situation, we
5 would lose the policies.

6 Q We talked earlier about a
7 handwritten agreement that you had with
8 Dr. Inzlicht-Sprei, correct?

9 | **A** **Yes.**

10 Q Now, you mentioned that there was
11 something that was not actually written in
12 that agreement, which was that -- but it
13 was part of the deal that he was supposed
14 to get 10 percent upon death instead of
15 5 percent, right?

16 | A Yes .

17 Q Was there anything else that you
18 had agreed with Dr. Inzlicht-Sprei about
19 that was not embodied in that agreement?

20 A Well, we basically had an
21 understanding that he would get some profit
22 out of this, that he wasn't just doing this
23 just for our benefit, that he was supposed
24 to benefit from this.

Was there anything else that's

1

Herman Segal

2

not included in that written agreement that
was part of your deal with
Dr. Inzlicht-Sprei?

5

A No. Like I said, you know, an
understanding that before the policy would
be, I guess, gotten rid of however it was,
that he would have a right to see if he had
any interest in buying it, to see if he
thought it paid to salvage it.

11

I wasn't, you know, that aware of
what his mother's health was at the time.

13

Q So at the time that you believed
Alan Spiegel would be trying to sell the
policy, did you ever discuss with Dr. Sprei
whether he wanted to try to take the policy
back himself?

18

A Again, the Spiegels were the ones
who were dealing with him because they --
they had brought him in, they had basically
been the procurers of that policy, plus
they were involved with HM Ruby and they
were the ones who were selling policies, so
they were more intimately aware of the
circumstances.

1 Herman Segal

2 A Well, I don't have much of a
3 recollection. But in the recesses of my
4 mind, at that point, I believe the policy
5 had been, I guess, disposed of and that he
6 had the funds.

7 Q Did you know of any reason why he
8 would have the funds?

9 A I don't know if I knew that he
10 had become the trustee or if he had somehow
11 gotten them, no, I do not.

12 Q Okay. So when you learned at the
13 time that the policy had been disposed of,
14 did you have a discussion with Dr. Inzlicht
15 about that?

16 A No.

17 Q Is there a reason why you never
18 called him?

19 A Yes.

20 And what was that reason?

21 A Because I was not going to be put
22 in a position where I would have to lie to
23 him.

24 Q Did Signature Capital Group have
25 a bank account at Santander Bank?

1 Herman Segal

2 -- it's --

3 A Can you give me some --

4 Q -- it's a statement -- it's

5 statement of net worth, Sara Sprei Family

6 Statement of Net Worth as of December 31,

7 | 2007.

8 A Can you give me some exhibits
9 surrounding it so I should be able to find
10 it?

11 MR. KROLL: Can the reporter show
12 the witness Exhibit 44, please.

13 | It's --

14 MR. SAWICKI: It should be
15 relatively early in the deposition.

A I got it. Thank you.

17 Q Okay. Thank you.

Just take a look -- you were

19 asked earlier by counsel about this

20 document, which purports to be some type of
21 statement of net worth with the Sprei

22 family as of that date.
23 It's true, sir, that you don't
24 have any reason to believe that Sara Sprei
25 was involved in creating this document, do

Page 182

1

Herman Segal

2

you?

3

A No. No. We -- we -- we

4

traditionally did not require the family to show the net worth that we needed to write the policy because it was rare for them to actually have such a net worth.

8

Q And it's also true that you don't have any reason to believe that Eli Inzlicht-Sprei was involved in -- in any way in the creation of that document, true?

12

A That is -- that is correct, yes.

13

Q Thank you.

14

In connection with the Sara Sprei Family Trust, you were asked earlier who drafted it. Leaving aside who drafted it, you understood that there was a trust that was the owner of the policy when the policy was originally procured, correct?

20

A Yes.

21

Q And is it also true that the agreement that you -- "you" meaning Signature Capital had, that's reflected by Exhibit 40, that Signature Capital controlled the trust, the Sara Sprei Family

1 Herman Segal

2 Trust?

3 A Well, not on paper, but yes, in
4 reality, the trustee took instructions from
5 us, if we needed to -- to take out a
6 paragraph or put in a paragraph or change
7 anything, yes, that is correct.

8 Q In other words, Signature Capital
9 was managing, controlling, running this
10 entire policy, correct?

11 A Yes .

12 Q Just one moment.

Now, earlier, in response to questioning by Mr. Paneth, he was asking about documentation that you had and I thought I heard you say that Wells Fargo had access to your documents; is that true?

18 A Well, the bankruptcy trustee had
19 the copied my computer, so Wells Fargo, I
20 told Wells Fargo that they can get it from
21 him and -- yes, it is true.

22 Q Okay. And -- and when did you
23 have this -- well, strike that.

24 Who at Wells Fargo did you have
25 this communication with about contacting